Scientia Review, Vol. 02(08), August 2026, pp. 1-4 ISSN: 3107-7919

Scientia Review

Website: www.scientiafoundation.in

POPULAR SCIENCE ARTICLE

A critical review of integrated farming systems: comparative models, divergent outcomes, and emerging research gaps

I. Venkata Reddy*, M. Ravi Kishore & P. N. Siva Prasad
Dr. K. L. Rao Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Garikapadu, NTR District, Andhra Pradesh, India, 521175
*Email: ivrextedu18@gmail.com

Received: 09 July 2026
Revised: 13 July 2026
Accepted: 29 July2026
Published online: 07 August 2026

Article ID: SR01137

Citation: Reddy, I. V., Kishore, M. R., & Prasad, P. N. S. (2026). A critical review of integrated farming systems: comparative models, divergent outcomes, and emerging research gaps. *Scientia Review*, 2(8), 1-4

Abstract

The global agricultural paradigm is currently navigating a precarious intersection between escalating demographic pressures, accelerating climate volatility, and the widespread degradation of natural resources. As the limitations of single-enterprise conventional farming become increasingly apparent characterized by diminishing factor productivity, degraded soil health, and heightened vulnerability to market shocks the transition toward Integrated Farming Systems (IFS) has emerged as a critical imperative. This review critically analyzes the published literature to evaluate the structural integrity and operational viability of various IFS models across diverse agro-ecological zones. By comparing methodological frameworks like Bio-Economic Farm Models (BEFM), contrasting regional outcomes across 28 Indian states, and identifying fundamental trade-offs within the literature, this paper seeks to unearth the underlying mechanisms governing IFS success. Furthermore, it examines the essential role of systemic agricultural extension, evaluating ground-level capacities in regions like the NTR district of Andhra Pradesh, to identify bottlenecks that hinder widespread adoption and to outline essential future research trajectories.

Keywords: Intensification, monoculture, food security, agroforestry, IFS,

Introduction

The pursuit of agricultural intensification through monoculture systems and heavy synthetic inputs temporarily stabilized global food security during the Green Revolution, but it inherently compromised long-term ecological balance, biodiversity, and farm-level economic resilience (Gill *et al.*, 2009). To address these mounting challenges, an Integrated Farming System (IFS) offers a holistic, judicious mix of interdependent agricultural components encompassing field crops, horticulture, livestock, aquaculture, poultry, and agroforestry designed to maximize synergistic complementarities and minimize resource competition. While the foundational premise of IFS is universally acknowledged as beneficial, moving beyond descriptive summaries is required to understand its practical implementation. This article establishes a critical evaluation of the empirical realities of IFS deployment across diverse agro-ecological zones, comparing the theoretical efficiencies of circular bio-economies against the practical constraints faced by smallholder and marginal farmers. By doing so, it aims to captivate researchers and policymakers, encouraging a systemic rethinking of how modern farming can become both highly productive and ecologically restorative.

The Mechanisms of Bio-Circularity and Synergy

In conventional agriculture, inputs are purchased, applied, and the resulting biomass is extracted, leaving a depleted ecosystem. Conversely, an Integrated Farming System operates on a closed-loop principle rooted in agro-ecological symbiosis: the waste or byproduct of one enterprise serves as a vital input for another (Gill *et al.*, 2009). This recycling significantly reduces dependency on external chemical fertilizers and commercial feeds, lowering cultivation costs and insulating farmers from supply chain disruptions (Jat *et al.*, 2024). For example, in crop-livestock-aquaculture integration, crop residues are utilized as fodder, livestock manure produces biogas and organic fertilizer, and nutrient-rich runoff stimulates natural fish feed in aquaculture ponds (Kumar *et al.*, 2022). Internal recycling drastically curtails the energy footprint associated with industrial nitrogenous fertilizers, enhancing the thermodynamic efficiency of the entire farm (Walia *et al.*, 2019).

Methodological Approaches to IFS Evaluation: Bio-Economic Modeling

Evaluating IFS requires analytical frameworks capable of capturing multi-dimensional interactions between biological variables, physical constraints, and economic objectives. There has been a decisive shift toward Bio-Economic Farm Models (BEFM), which serve as the gold standard for ex-ante evaluations. At the core of bio-economic modeling is mathematical programming, particularly Linear Programming (LP) and Multi-Goal Linear Programming (MGLP). These models optimize farm resources by defining an objective function subject to socio-economic constraints representing finite resources like land area, labor, and capital. However, MGLP extends this framework through Compromise Programming (CP), acknowledging that farmers balance conflicting goals: maximizing profit, minimizing risk, ensuring household nutrition, and maintaining soil health.

Despite their immense utility, deterministic linear models possess limitations, assuming static parameters that fail to accurately reflect stochastic environments characterized by climate shocks and market volatility. Recent studies utilize Mixed-Integer Linear Programming (MILP) to account for discrete operational choices, though a critical gap remains regarding the integration of uncertainty and sequential decision-making over time.

Comparative Analysis of IFS Outcomes across Regions

The efficacy of an IFS is intrinsically linked to local geography and climate. A critical comparison of published literature reveals that different ecosystems demand highly specialized models, leading to divergent outcomes and unique regional trade-offs (Table 1).

Table 1: Critical Evaluation of Dominant IFS Models, Outcomes, and Trade-offs Across 28 Indian States

State/ Agro-Ecological Zone	Dominant IFS Model Analyzed	Key Outcomes and Synergies	Critical Limitations and Trade-offs	Source(s)
Andhra Pradesh	Crop + Dairy + Horticulture	Enhanced soil micronutrients; localized bio-control adoption; year-round labor generation.	Highly dependent on water availability and targeted extension (VAAs) for micronutrient management.	Prasad *et al.*, (2023)
Arunachal Pradesh	Wet Rice + Fish + Poultry	Operates without synthetic inputs; yields 300-500 kg/ha of fish while boosting rice yield.	Topographically constrained; relies heavily on indigenous, community-managed water engineering.	Baruah &Singh, (2018)
Assam	Rice + Fish + Veg. + Pig	Increased household net returns; high biomass recycling in floodplains.	Vulnerable to high rainfall flooding; risk of waterborne zoonotic diseases in livestock.	Kumar *et al.*, (2022)
Bihar	Crop + Fish + Hort. + Dairy	System productivity increased by 186%; reversed soil degradation from rice-wheat monoculture.	Requires intensive initial capital investment for pond excavation and cross-enterprise infrastructure.	Kumar *et al.*, (2022)
Chhattisgarh	Rice + Poultry + Mushroom	Minimized risk of single-crop failure; excellent use of paddy straw for mushroom cultivation.	Mushroom and specialized poultry require strict temperature control and immediate market linkages.	Ravisankar *et al.*, (2019)
Goa	Plantation + Dairy + Fishery	High resource use efficiency; steady daily income from milk and fish.	Coastal salinity and extremely limited land area constrain horizontal expansion.	Gill *et al.*, (2009)
Gujarat	Groundnut/Cotton + Dairy + Forestry	Boundary plantations reduced wind erosion; dairy mitigated drought-induced crop failure.	Highly vulnerable to prolonged dry spells; fodder scarcity during intense summer months.	Jat *et al.*, (2024)
Haryana	Wheat/Rice + Dairy + Poultry	Drastic reduction in greenhouse gas emissions; minimized fossil fuel dependency.	Heavy capital requirement for large-scale dairy infrastructure and mechanization.	Fatima *et al.*, (2023)
Himachal Pradesh	Agroforestry (Apple) + Livestock	High carbon sequestration; significantly reduced soil erosion on fragile mountain slopes.	Slower return on investment due to the long gestation periods of tree crops.	Walia *et al.*, (2019)
Jharkhand	Rice + Fish + Duck + Pig	Duck droppings acted as biological aerators and replaced 60% of pond fertilizer costs.	Relies heavily on seasonal rainfall; prolonged dry spells threaten pond ecology.	Kumar *et al.*, (2022)
Karnataka	Millets + Dairy + Vermicompost	High drought resilience; vermicompost replaced external synthetic fertilizers.	Severe groundwater depletion in semi-arid zones limits green fodder production for dairy.	Gill *et al.*, (2009)
Madhya Pradesh	Soybean/Wheat + Poultry (Kadaknath)	Rapid economic turnover from premium, specialized regional poultry breeds.	High susceptibility to viral poultry outbreaks requires rigorous vaccination protocols.	Ravisankar *et al.*, (2019)
Maharashtra	Cotton/Soybean + Dairy + Goat	Goat rearing provided vital "ATM-like" liquidity during market price crashes for cash crops.	High feed costs and fodder shortages during recurring summer droughts.	Gill *et al.*, (2009)
Manipur	Paddy + Vegetables + Piggery	Higher net returns (B:C ratio >2.3); pig manure highly effective for vegetable nutrition.	Poor hilly transport infrastructure limits market access for perishable vegetables.	Ansari *et al.*, (2013)

Meghalaya	Paddy + Fish + Poultry	Effective runoff management and soil conservation on highly sloped topography.	Heavy monsoons cause severe nutrient leaching if terraces are not properly maintained.	Das *et al.*, (2021)
Mizoram	Fish + Duck + Pig	Closed-loop recycling drastically reduced commercial feed reliance for aquaculture.	Topographical isolation makes importing any necessary external supplements highly expensive.	Das *et al.*, (2021)
Nagaland	Agri. + Hort. + Fishery	Maximum man-days engagement (395 days/year); halted rural youth migration.	Transitioning from traditional shifting cultivation (Jhum) requires massive behavioral shifts.	Kumar*et al.*, (2018)
Odisha	Lowland Rice + Fish + Duck + Azolla	Improved nitrogen fixation; sustained food security in chronically flooded coastal zones.	Frequent cyclonic floods threaten low-lying fish ponds and integrated infrastructure.	Nayak *et al.*, (2020)
Punjab	Crop + Dairy + Fishery + Biogas	Highest net energy gain; biogas utilization minimized rural fossil fuel dependency.	High labor costs and challenges with managing heavy methane emissions from large dairy herds.	Fatima *et al.*, (2023)
Rajasthan	Pearl Millet + Sheep/Goat	B:C ratio improved to 3.10; ensured household survival during erratic monsoons.	Low absolute biomass generation; severe zero-sum conflict between soil mulching and animal feed.	Jat *et al.*, (2024)
Sikkim	Organic Horticulture + Dairy	Entirely synthetic-free production allows for premium organic market pricing.	Strict organic mandate limits emergency interventions during severe pest outbreaks.	Kumar *et al.*, (2022)
Telangana	Crop + Dairy + Sheep	Rapid economic returns; optimized use of dryland environments.	Over-reliance on deep borewells for fodder cultivation risks long-term aquifer depletion.	Prasad *et al.*, (2023)
Tripura	Fish + Duck + Poultry	Ducks acted as weed controllers in ponds, reducing manual labor costs.	High risk of over-eutrophication and poor water quality if bird-to-pond surface ratios are mismanaged.	Das *et al.*, (2021)
Uttar Pradesh	Sugarcane/Wheat + Dairy + Hort.	High gross profitability (17-20% increase) over monoculture systems.	Delayed sugarcane harvesting frequently limits the timely sowing of subsequent fodder crops.	Ravisankar *et al.*, (2019)
Uttarakhand	Agroforestry + Dairy + Fodder	Maintained forest cover while sustaining high-yield mountain dairy units.	Increasing human-wildlife conflict threatens dairy livestock on forest fringes.	Walia *et al.*, (2019)
West Bengal	Jute/Rice + Fish + Horticulture	Optimal use of delta wetlands; highly efficient conversion of aquatic weeds to fish feed.	Arsenic contamination in groundwater in certain districts poses bioaccumulation risks in fish.	Nayak *et al.*, (2020)

Core Evaluation Metrics and Divergent Findings

Economic metrics demonstrate that IFS models consistently outperform conventional monocultures, buffering shocks through diversified revenue streams. Environmentally, fodder-based models significantly enhance soil physical health, accumulating up to 17% higher total organic carbon over a decade. Localized assessments in the NTR district demonstrate that integrated nutrient management strongly preserves the biochemical integrity and micronutrient status of the soil matrix (Prasad *et al.*, 2023).However, the literature also documents ecological risks and divergent findings regarding labor. While some regions benefit from continuous year-round employment (preventing distress migration), areas experiencing rapid rural-to-urban youth migration view this increased labor demand as a severe liability. Additionally, the integration of raw livestock manure into aquaculture poses risks of zoonotic pathogen transfer, antimicrobial resistance, and over-eutrophication, requiring rigorous waste pre-treatment.

Translating Research to Practice: Agricultural Extension

The transition to IFS is highly knowledge-intensive. Traditional extension methodologies must shift toward a System-Based Extension Approach (SBEA) prioritizing localized, participatory co-design. A critical barrier is the operational constraint among frontline workers. In the NTR district of Andhra Pradesh, an empirical analysis of Village Agricultural Assistants (VAAs) revealed that 100% of surveyed personnel identified "Integrated Farming Systems" and the "identification of micronutrient deficiencies" as their absolute highest-priority training requirements (Reddy *et al.*, 2023). Addressing these institutional bottlenecks and immense administrative workloads is a prerequisite for scaling IFS adoption.

Conclusion

The transition from specialized, chemically intensive monoculture to Integrated Farming Systems represents an essential evolutionary step toward agricultural sustainability. By deliberately intertwining multiple enterprises, IFS operationalizes the circular bio economy, drastically enhancing farm profitability, buffering households against climate volatility, physically rebuilding degraded soil architectures, and generating vital rural employment. However, this transition is constrained by agro-ecological realities and significant trade-offs, such as the conflict between crop residue retention and livestock feed in biomass-deficient regions, and the epidemiological risks associated with raw manure recycling. The primary challenge remains the immense capital and cross-disciplinary knowledge burden placed on farmers. Realizing the full potential of IFS requires targeted future research into dynamic stochastic modeling, standardized biological waste treatment protocols, and a transformed System-Based Extension Approach that empowers local agricultural networks through continuous, specialized capacity building.

Conflict of Interest

Authors declare no competing or conflict of interest.

References

Ansari, M. A., Prakash, N., Baishya, L. K., Punitha, P., Yadav, J. S., Sharma, P. K., ... & Ansari, M. H. (2013). Comparative study on conventional and improved integrated farming systems for sustainable production, income generation and employment opportunity among the tribal farmers in hilly Regions of Manipur. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, *83*(7), 765-72.

Kumar, R. A. K. E. S. H., Patra, M. K., Thirugnanavel, A., Deka, B. C., Chatterjee, D., Borah, T. R., ... & Upadhyay, P. K. (2018). Comparative evaluation of different integrated farming system models for small and marginal farmers under the Eastern Himalayas. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, *88*(11), 1722-1729.

Das, A., Layek, J., Ramkrushna, G. I., Patel, D. P., Ngachan, S. V., Ghosh, P. K. & Panwar, A. S., (2021), *Integrated Farming Systems for Doubling Farmers' Income in NEH Region of India*, 1st Edition, Umiam: ATARI-Umiam Publications, 2021.

Gill, M. S., Singh, J. P., &Gangwa, K. S. (2009). Integrated farming system and agriculture sustainability. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, *54*(2), 128-139.

Jat, M. L., Rana, G. S., Shivran, J. S., Jat, R. K., Mor, R., Kumari, S., ... & Gavri, A. (2024). Effect of nutrients and organic substances on growth and quality attributes of apple (Malus× domestica) cv. Anna in semi-arid region of Haryana. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, *94*(1), 050-055.

Kumar, S., Shivani, Dey, A., Kumar, U., Kumar, R., Mondal, S., ... & Manibhushan. (2022). Location-specific integrated farming system models for resource recycling and livelihood security for smallholders. *Frontiers in Agronomy*, *4*, 938331.

Nayak, P. K., Nayak, A. K., Kumar, A., Kumar, U., Panda, B. B., Satapathy, B. S., ... & Pathak, H. (2020). Rice based integrated farming systems in Eastern India: a viable technology for productivity and ecological security. *NRRI research bulletin*, *24*, 44.

Prasad, P. S., Subbaiah, P. V., Rajasekhar, N., Reddy, I. V., Rajanarasimha, M., Krishi, K. R., ... & Acharya, N. (2023). Assessment of Available Major and Micronutrient Status of Soils under Varied Cropping Systems of NTR district, Andhra Pradesh. *Indian Journal of Ecology*, *50*(2), 345-350.

Ravisankar, N., Panwar, A. S., Shamim, M., Prusty, A. K. & Ansari, M. A., (2019), *AICRP on Integrated Farming Systems: Achievements and future thrusts*, 1st Edition, Modipuram: ICAR-IIFSR Publications, 2019.

Reddy, I. V., Subbaiah, P. V., Narasimha, R., Prasad, P. N., & Sehkar, N. R. (2023). Training need Assessment and Knowledge of Village Agricultural Assistants of NTR District of Andhra Pradesh. *Asian Journal of Agricultural Extension, Economics & Sociology*, *41*(8), 242-250.

Baruah, D., & Singh, N. D. (2018). Rice-fish cultivation of Apatanis: a high altitude farming system in Arunachal Pradesh. *J Krishi Vigyan*, *7*(1), 187-191.

Fatima, A., Singh, V. K., Babu, S., Singh, R. K., Upadhyay, P. K., Rathore, S. S., ... & Parween, H. (2023). Food production potential and environmental sustainability of different integrated farming system models in northwest India. *Frontiers in Sustainable Food Systems*, *7*, 959464.

Walia, S. S., Dhawan, V., Dhawan, A. K., & Ravisankar, N. (2019). Integrated farming system: enhancing income source for marginal and small farmers. In *Natural resource management: Ecological perspectives* (pp. 63-94). Cham: Springer International Publishing.