Scientia Review, Vol. 01(04), October 2025, pp. 4-6

Scientia Review

Website: www.scientiafoundation.in

POPULAR SCIENCE ARTICLE

Alternative Protein Sources in Animal Diets

Pranita Das
Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Assam Agricultural University, Mangaldai, Darrang, Assam, 784146
Email: pranita.das@aau.ac.in

Received: 17 September 2025
Revised: 25 September 2025
Accepted: 27 September 2025
Published online: 17 October 2025

Article ID: SR01031

Citation: Das, P. (2025). Alternative Protein Sources in Animal Diets. *Scientia Review*, 1(4), 4-6

Abstract

Protein is a vital nutrient in animal diets as it supports growth, reproduction and overall productivity. Traditionally, soybean meal and fish meal have been the dominant protein sources used in livestock and aquaculture feed formulations. However, increasing global demand, fluctuating prices, environmental concerns and competition between human food and animal feed industries have driven the search for sustainable alternatives. A variety of novel and unconventional protein sources such as insect meals, single-cell proteins, algae, oilseed by-products and food industry residues have emerged as promising substitutes. This review explores the nutritional potential, advantages and challenges of these alternative proteins and discusses their relevance in achieving sustainability within modern animal production systems. Emphasis is placed on improving resource efficiency, reducing environmental impact and ensuring feed security for the future.

Keywords: Sustainability, Protein, Insects, Algae, Feed

Introduction

The growing global population, projected to reach nearly ten billion by 2050, has dramatically increased the demand for animal-derived foods such as meat, milk, eggs and fish (Alexandratos & Bruinsma, 2012). As a result, the livestock and aquaculture industries face rising pressure to produce more food using fewer natural resources. Protein is one of the most expensive and limiting nutrients in animal feeds and conventional sources like soybean meal and fish meal are increasingly constrained by cost, availability and environmental sustainability (Tacon & Metian, 2015).

Soybean meal, though rich in protein and widely used, is associated with deforestation, land degradation and competition with human food supply (FAO, 2019). Similarly, fish meal production depends heavily on capture fisheries, leading to overexploitation of marine resources and declining fish stocks (Tacon & Metian, 2015). These issues highlight the urgent need for alternative, eco-friendly and economically viable protein ingredients that can reduce dependence on conventional sources while maintaining animal performance and health.

The exploration of alternative protein sources aligns with global sustainability initiatives and the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly those targeting responsible consumption, climate action and zero hunger. Recent advancements in biotechnology, feed processing and microbial fermentation have further enhanced the feasibility of integrating non-traditional protein ingredients into animal feeding systems (Jones *et al.*, 2020).

Insect-Based Proteins

Insects have gained significant attention as sustainable protein sources due to their high feed conversion efficiency, rapid growth rate and minimal environmental footprint (Makkar *et al.*, 2014). Species such as the black soldier fly (*Hermetia illucens*), mealworms (*Tenebrio molitor*) and crickets (*Acheta domesticus*) are rich in essential amino acids, lipids and minerals. Insect meals typically contain 40–70% crude protein depending on the species and rearing substrate.

Research has shown that black soldier fly larvae meal can replace up to 50% of fish meal in poultry and aquaculture diets without negative effects on growth or feed conversion efficiency (Makkar *et al.*, 2014). Additionally, insect farming can utilize organic waste streams such as food residues and manure, thereby contributing to circular bioeconomy

principles (Sogari *et al.*, 2019). Challenges include large-scale production, cost of processing, microbial safety and consumer acceptance, yet these barriers are gradually being overcome through regulatory approvals and technological innovation.

Single-Cell Proteins

Single-cell proteins (SCPs) refer to microbial biomass derived from bacteria, yeast, fungi or algae cultivated on various substrates, including agricultural residues or industrial by-products. SCPs are rich in protein (50–80%), vitamins and nucleic acids and can be produced in controlled environments independent of agricultural land (Jones *et al.*, 2020).

Yeast species such as *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* and *Candida utilis* have been extensively studied as SCP sources for poultry and ruminant feeds. Bacterial proteins, particularly from *Methylococcus capsulatus*, are now commercially produced as methane-utilizing feed proteins (Glencross *et al.*, 2020). SCPs not only provide high-quality nutrients but also contribute to waste valorization and greenhouse gas mitigation by using carbon-rich substrates. However, production costs, nucleic acid content and digestibility issues remain technical challenges that require further research and refinement (Jones *et al.*, 2020; Glencross *et al.*, 2020).

Algae as Protein Sources

Algae, both microalgae and macroalgae, represent another promising alternative due to their ability to produce biomass with high protein content and essential fatty acids (Lum *et al.*, 2013). Microalgae such as *Chlorella vulgaris*, *Spirulina platensis* and *Scenedesmus obliquus* contain up to 60% crude protein and are also rich in carotenoids, vitamins and minerals. Macroalgae or seaweeds, on the other hand, contain variable protein levels depending on the species but are abundant in bioactive compounds that enhance animal health and immunity (Lum *et al.*, 2013).

Algal production systems are advantageous because they do not compete for arable land and can utilize saline or wastewater streams. Moreover, algae cultivation absorbs carbon dioxide, making it environmentally sustainable. Incorporating microalgae into livestock and aquaculture diets has been shown to improve feed efficiency, meat quality and fatty acid profiles (Tacon & Metian, 2015). Nevertheless, the high cost of production, drying and extraction processes currently limits large-scale utilization (Lum *et al.*, 2013).

Oilseed Meals and Agro-Industrial By-products

Several oilseed cakes and meals such as rapeseed, sunflower, cottonseed, sesame and groundnut meals serve as valuable protein alternatives (FAO, 2019). These ingredients are by-products of oil extraction processes and contain 30-45% crude protein. Although they are rich in amino acids, the presence of anti-nutritional factors (ANFs) such as gossypol in cottonseed or glucosinolates in rapeseed can restrict their use.

Modern feed technology and processing techniques such as heat treatment, fermentation and enzyme supplementation have significantly reduced these anti-nutritional effects, allowing greater inclusion levels in livestock diets (Ravindran, 2013). Utilization of agro-industrial by-products like brewers' dried grains, distillers' dried grains with solubles (DDGS) and cassava leaves also adds economic and environmental value to feed formulations while reducing waste (FAO, 2019).

Legume and Pulses-Based Proteins

Legume crops such as peas, faba beans, lupins and lentils are good sources of plant-based protein and can partially replace soybean meal. Pea protein, for instance, has a balanced amino acid profile and good digestibility (FAO, 2019). Lupins contain high levels of protein (35-45%) and fiber, making them suitable for ruminant and non-ruminant feeds. However, similar to oilseed meals, the presence of alkaloids and tannins can reduce palatability and digestibility, though processing treatments can mitigate these issues. Moreover, legumes fix atmospheric nitrogen, improving soil fertility and supporting sustainable crop–livestock integration systems (Alexandratos & Bruinsma, 2012).

Food Waste and Novel By-product Proteins

The food processing industry generates large amounts of protein-rich waste, including bakery residues, dairy by-products like whey and meat or fish trimmings. These materials can be converted into high-quality feed ingredients after proper processing and safety assurance (FAO, 2019). Hydrolyzed feather meal, blood meal and meat and bone meal are examples of animal-derived by-products that recycle nutrients back into feed chains (Tacon & Metian, 2015).

Emerging innovations such as microbial fermentation of food waste into protein-rich biomass offer dual benefits of waste reduction and protein recovery (Jones *et al.*, 2020). With appropriate quality control and traceability,

these by-products can play a major role in creating sustainable feed systems while reducing environmental burdens (Sogari *et al.*, 2019).

Nutritional Evaluation and Digestibility

For any alternative protein source to be adopted successfully, its nutritional composition, amino acid balance, digestibility and absence of toxins must be validated (Ravindran, 2013). Advanced feed evaluation tools such as in vitro digestibility assays, near-infrared spectroscopy (NIRS) and metabolizable energy assessments are essential. Furthermore, the inclusion of enzymes, probiotics and processing aids can improve nutrient availability from unconventional feed ingredients (Ravindran, 2013).

Environmental and Economic Considerations

Adopting alternative proteins contributes to reducing pressure on arable land and marine ecosystems (FAO, 2019). Insect and microbial proteins, in particular, require significantly less water and space compared to soy or fish meal (Makkar *et al.*, 2014). Life cycle assessment (LCA) studies have demonstrated that incorporating such ingredients can lower the carbon and nitrogen footprints of livestock production systems (Sogari *et al.*, 2019). Economically, initial costs of production for novel ingredients may be high, but scaling up and technological improvements are expected to make them competitive with conventional feed sources in the near future (Glencross *et al.*, 2020).

Conclusion

The development and integration of alternative protein sources in animal diets represent a crucial step toward achieving sustainable livestock and aquaculture systems. Insects, single-cell proteins, algae, oilseed meals and agro-industrial by-products offer viable options for diversifying the protein base of animal feeds. Each source brings unique advantages and challenges and their adoption depends on technological feasibility, economic viability and consumer perception.

A balanced approach involving feed innovation, policy support and industry–research collaboration is essential to unlock the full potential of these alternative proteins. By reducing dependency on traditional ingredients, improving resource efficiency and supporting environmental conservation, these novel feed sources can significantly contribute to global food security and sustainable animal production.

References

Makkar, H. P. S., Tran, G., Heuzé, V. & Ankers, P. (2014). State-of-the-art on use of insects as animal feed. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 197, 1-33.

Tacon, A. G., & Metian, M. (2015). Feed matters: satisfying the feed demand of aquaculture. *Reviews in Fisheries Science & Aquaculture*, *23*(1), 1-10.

Alexandratos, N. & Bruinsma, J. (2012). *World agriculture towards 2030/2050: The 2012 revision*. FAO, Rome.

Jones, S. W., Karpol, A., Friedman, S., Maru, B. T. & Tracy, B. P. (2020). Recent advances in single cell protein use as a feed ingredient in aquaculture. *Current opinion in biotechnology*, *61*, 189-197.

Lum, K. K., Kim, J., & Lei, X. G. (2013). Dual potential of microalgae as a sustainable biofuel feedstock and animal feed. *Journal of animal science and biotechnology*, *4*(1), 53.

Glencross, B. D., Huyben, D. & Schrama, J. W. (2020). The application of single-cell ingredients in aquaculture feeds—a review. *Fishes*, *5*(3), 22. **https://doi.org/10.3390/fishes5030022**

Ravindran, V. (2013). Feed enzymes: The science, practice and metabolic realities. *Journal of Applied Poultry Research*, *22*(3), 628-636.

FAO. (2019). *The future of food and agriculture – Alternative pathways to 2050*. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations.

Sogari, G., Amato, M., Biasato, I., Chiesa, S. & Gasco, L. (2019). The potential role of insects as feed: A multi-perspective review. *Animals*, *9*(4), 119.