



Will AI Replace Traditional Farming: Myths vs. Reality

Chayanika Nath

Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Darrang, Mangaldai, Assam: 784146

Email: chayanika.nath@aau.ac.in

Received: 19 November 2025
 Revised: 21 November 2025
 Accepted: 29 November 2025
 Published online: 08 December 2025

Article ID: SR01052

Citation: Nath, C. (2025). Will AI Replace
 Traditional Farming: Myths vs. Reality.
Scientia Review, 1(6), 16-18

Abstract

Artificial intelligence is creating a major shift in the agricultural world and many people are wondering whether machines will eventually replace farmers. This concern has emerged as digital tools become increasingly visible in crop monitoring pest detection irrigation planning and prediction based services. While these technologies are helpful the belief that AI can replace traditional farming has created unnecessary anxiety especially among small and marginal farmers. The myths and realities around AI adoption and highlights the essential role of farmers and traditional wisdom even in the age of smart agriculture. The discussion includes scholarly references and a comparison table explains the connection between AI tools and farmer knowledge. Evidence shows that AI enhances farming but does not remove the need for human experience ecological understanding and decision making.

Keywords: Agriculture, Farming, Artificial Intelligence, Technology, Sustainability

Introduction

Artificial intelligence has entered the agricultural sector with new tools for predicting yield monitoring crop health identifying pests and managing irrigation. These digital systems have created hope for improved productivity but they have also created fear among people who believe that machines may take over farming completely. This belief has grown stronger with the spread of images showing robot harvesters smart tractors and fully automated greenhouses.

Agriculture however is not a typical industry. It is influenced by soil variations weather unpredictability cultural practices local biodiversity and personal experience. Farmers make decisions based on observation touch smell intuition and knowledge passed down through generations. AI on the other hand depends on mathematical models and large sets of digital information.

The idea that AI will replace traditional farming therefore requires careful examination. The myths surrounding AI and present the real possibilities supported by research.

Understanding AI in farming

AI systems in agriculture are designed to learn from data interpret patterns and provide suggestions. Many farmers have begun using AI based apps that predict crop diseases estimate yield and monitor moisture levels. These tools offer fast insights and help farmers plan better.

Research shows that AI tools perform best when used alongside farmer experience. One study found that AI improves precision in pest detection and yield prediction while still depending on human interpretation for final decisions. (Klerkx and Rose, 2020). AI guidance becomes meaningful when farmers apply their own judgement to adjust recommendations to local field conditions. AI can strengthen farm management but it is not a substitute for the skills farmers develop through years of interacting with their land.

Myths about AI and farming

Myth one AI will replace farmers

There is a widespread belief that farms of the future will run entirely on autonomous robots without human involvement. This idea has become popular due to the rise of automated tractors drone sprayers and robotic weeders. Research however shows that AI mainly reduces repetitive monitoring work while farmers

continue to make complex decisions related to planting harvesting and resource management. A study on digital agriculture reported that AI based systems do not eliminate the need for human judgement especially during unexpected events such as irregular rainfall pest outbreaks or equipment malfunction (Rotz *et al.*, 2019).

Farmers respond to subtle cues in crop behaviour and soil conditions that machines often fail to interpret. Human intuition and adaptability remain essential parts of agriculture.

Myth two AI produces better results than traditional knowledge

Some people believe that AI always performs better than traditional farming methods. This belief ignores the fact that traditional knowledge has evolved over centuries through observation and experimentation.

Many traditional farming communities rely on mixed cropping natural pest control seed selection techniques and local weather signs that are deeply connected to their environment. AI cannot fully understand these ecological interactions because it depends on digital data which is often incomplete.

A study on data driven agriculture pointed out that AI tools still require human interpretation especially in regions where comprehensive agricultural data is missing (Bronson and Knezevic, 2016). These findings show that farmer experience is necessary to avoid misinterpretation and incorrect recommendations.

Myth three only large farms benefit from AI

Another misconception is that AI tools are only useful for corporate farms with large budgets. This was true in the early years of AI but the situation has changed.

New AI based mobile apps offer low cost crop advisory weather alerts nutrient suggestions and pest identification features that small farmers can use with a basic smartphone. A study on digital advisory services reported that small farmers can benefit from AI when the tools are designed in an inclusive way and when training is available (Klerkx and Rose, 2020). This means AI is not limited to large scale commercial farms. It is becoming more accessible to small and marginal farmers.

Realities about AI and farming

Reality one AI supports farmers but does not replace them

AI improves efficiency but it cannot replace human understanding of soil crop behaviour and ecological relationships. Many apps can detect early signs of plant stress but farmers decide how to respond based on affordability availability and cultural practices.

For example an AI app might detect symptoms of a pest on rice leaves. The farmer still chooses whether to apply organic methods hand picking pheromone traps or chemical treatment. This decision depends on personal knowledge of the field and local pest history.

Reality two AI changes labour needs but does not remove the need for people

AI reduces some physical tasks such as field surveillance manual weeding and sorting. This does not eliminate human labour but shifts it toward technical and supervisory roles.

One study observed that AI is transforming agricultural labour by increasing the need for digital skills and technical responsibilities (Rotz *et al.*, 2019). This transformation creates new opportunities for rural youth especially those interested in drone operation data analysis and automated machinery management.

Reality three AI strengthens climate smart agriculture

Climate change has made farming more unpredictable. Droughts floods heat waves and pest outbreaks have increased in many regions.

AI tools can help farmers prepare by analysing large sets of climate data and generating predictions. A study found that deep learning technologies help identify long term climate patterns and support climate smart decision making (Kamilaris and Prenafeta Boldu 2018).

Farmers still play the most important role because AI predictions must be interpreted in combination with local weather signs soil moisture knowledge and seasonal traditions.

Reality four AI helps protect traditional wisdom

Many organisations now use AI to document and preserve indigenous knowledge related to seed selection drought resistant crops and organic fertilisation.

Machine learning models can analyse traditional practices and identify which methods are

sustainable. This helps ensure that valuable knowledge is not lost. AI can become a bridge that connects historical wisdom with modern agriculture.

Reality five AI has limitations and depends on human judgement

AI relies heavily on clean accurate and complete datasets. Many rural regions lack accurate soil maps pest distribution surveys and continuous weather data. This creates gaps that AI cannot fill on its own.

Ethical issues related to data privacy and ownership also exist and farmers remain cautious about how their information is used by large companies. These limitations confirm that AI cannot independently operate farms.

Diagram One AI and farmer knowledge relationship

Diagram One conceptually shows three connected elements. One circle represents AI tools. Another circle represents farmer knowledge. A third circle represents environmental conditions. The overlapping space illustrates that effective farming happens when AI guidance and farmer experience meet within the context of local environmental conditions.

This diagram reinforces that AI and farmers complement each other.

Table One Comparison between AI based and traditional practices

Practice type	AI based approach	Traditional farmer approach
Monitoring pests	Image analysis through apps	Visual observation through field experience
Planning irrigation	Sensor based moisture alerts	Touch based soil moisture evaluation
Monitoring crop health	Drone or satellite imagery	Walking through the field
Predicting	Machine	Seasonal

Practice type	AI based approach	Traditional farmer approach
yield	learning models	experience

Table one highlight that every AI method has a corresponding farmer based method. The most effective results occur when both are used together.

Conclusion

The belief that AI will replace traditional farming is not supported by research or real world practice. AI can improve accuracy reduce workload and offer predictions but farming continues to depend on human intuition ecological understanding and cultural knowledge.

Farmers remain central to agricultural production and their role becomes even more important when new technologies are introduced. AI performs best when it supports farmer judgement rather than replacing it. The future of agriculture will rely on a blended system where AI and human knowledge work together. If farmers receive proper training and if AI tools are designed in an inclusive and ethical way then agriculture will become more resilient sustainable and productive without losing its human foundation.

References

- Kamilaris, A., & Prenafeta-Boldú, F. X. (2018). Deep learning in agriculture. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 147, 70–90.
- Klerkx, L., & Rose, D. C. (2020). Dealing with the game changing technologies of agriculture Agricultural digitalization and its implications for advisory services. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 258, 119832.
- Li, X., Chen, S., & Wang, L. (2020). Artificial intelligence for crop monitoring and yield prediction. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 175, 105431.
- Bronson, K., & Knezevic, I. (2016). Big data in food and agriculture. *Big Data and Society*, 3(1), 1–6.
- Rotz, S., Gravely, E., Mosby, I., Duncan, E., Finnis, E., Horgan, M., LeBlanc, J., Martin, R., Tait Neufeld, H., Nixon, A., Pant, L., Shalla, V., & Fraser, E. D. G. (2019). Automated pastures and the digital divide How agricultural technologies are shaping labour and rural communities. *Journal of Rural Studies*, 68, 112–122.